arXiv:1602.07668v1 [math.AP] 24 Feb 2016

Analysis of the mean squared derivative cost function

Manh Hong Duong[1] and Minh Hoang Tran[2,3]

[1]Mathematics Institutes, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL. Email: m.h.duong@warwick.ac.uk.
[2]Department of Industrial Engineering, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843. Email: tran@tamu.edu
[3]School of Applied Mathematics and Informatics, Hanoi University of Science & Technology, Hanoi, Vietnam

February 25, 2016

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the mean squared derivative cost functions that arise in various applications such as in motor control, biometrics and optimal transport theory. We provide qualitative properties, explicitly analytical formula and computational algorithms for the cost functions. We also perform numerical simulations to illustrate analytical results.

1 Introduction

1.1 The mean squared derivative cost function

This paper is concerned with the analysis of *the mean squared derivative cost function*: Given $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbf{R}^{dn}, \mathbf{y} = (y_0, \ldots, y_{n-1}) \in \mathbf{R}^{dn}$, the mean squared derivative cost function is defined by

$$C_{n,h}(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}; y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}) := h \inf_{\xi} \int_0^h |\xi^{(n)}(t)|^2 \, dt, \tag{1}$$

where the infimum is taken over all curves $\xi \in C^n([0,h], \mathbf{R}^d)$ that satisfies the boundary conditions

$$(\xi, \dot{\xi}, \ldots, \xi^{(n-1)})(0) = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \quad \text{and} \quad (\xi, \dot{\xi}, \ldots, \xi^{(n-1)})(h) = (y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}). \tag{2}$$

Finally, the pre-factor h is a scaling factor. It is a constant and does not affect the analysis of the minimizing problem. However, for consistence with many applications, we prefer to keep this pre-factor.

This cost function plays a central role in various practical applications and theoretical research. For the motivation of this paper, we now review some important literature here.

1.2 Motivation

Applications in engineering and applied sciences. In the literature, the minimizing problem (1) for $n = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ are respectively called the minimum principles of acceleration, jerk, snap, crackle, and pop; see for instance [RF02]. The minimum jerk principle was initially used to model velocity profiles generated by elbow movements [Hog84] and latter extended to trajectory prediction for reaching movements between visual targets in the horizontal plan and to curved and obstacle-avoidance movements [FH85]. Since then the minimum jerk principle and other mean squared derivative cost functions (1) have been applied to many other fields and the mathematical models based on this cost functions fit well with experimental results. Examples of such applications include motor control [Eng01], biometrics and online-signatures [CC14, CDM13] and robotics [Fre12], just to name a few. We refer to the mentioned papers and references therein for more information.

Usages in theoretical research. The mean squared derivative cost functions also appear in theoretical research such as in optimal transport theory and partial differential equations. The cost function $C_{n,h}$ between two points $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}), \mathbf{y} = (y_0, \dots, y_{n-1})$ in the Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^{nd}$ can be used to define a Monge-Kantorovich optimal transport cost function between two probability measures $\mu(dx_0, \dots, dx_{n-1})$ and $\nu(dy_0, \dots dy_{n-1})$ on $\mathbf{R}^{nd}$ as follows

$$W_{MK}^2(\mu, \nu) := \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma(\mu,\nu)} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{nd} \times \mathbf{R}^{nd}} C_{n,h}(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}; y_0, \dots, y_{n-1})\, d\gamma(dx_0 \dots dx_{n-1} dy_0 \dots dy_{n-1}), \tag{3}$$

where $\Gamma(\mu, \nu)$ denotes the set of all probability measures on $\mathbf{R}^{nd} \times \mathbf{R}^{nd}$ having μ and ν as the first and second marginals. The Monge-Kantorovich cost function is the central object in optimal transport theory with many applications in other fields of mathematics and economics see for instance [Vil03, Vil09] for nice expositions on optimal transport theory and its applications. In particular, for $n = 1$, then $C_{1,h}(x_0, y_0) = |y_0 - x_0|^2$ and W_{MK} becomes the well-known Wasserstein distance. When $n = 2$, then $C_{2,h}(x_0, x_1; y_0, y_1) = |y_1 - y_0|^2 + 12\left|\frac{x_1 - x_0}{h} - \frac{y_1 + y_0}{2}\right|^2$ and W_{MK} is the minimal acceleration cost function. Both the Wasserstein metric and the minimal acceleration cost function have been studied extensively in the fields of partial differential equation. The former form an important class, namely the Wasserstein gradient flows, of dissipative evolution equations, see for instance [JKO98, AGS08]. The latter has been used to construct variational formulations for many evolution equations such as the Kramers (kinetic Fokker-Planck) equation [Hua00, DPZ14], the system of isentropic Euler equations [GW09, Wes10] and the compressible Euler equations [CSW14].

Another aspect that the cost function $C_{n,h}$ plays an important role is that it is related to *the fundamental solution* of partial differential equations. For instance, the function

$$\Phi_1(t,x,y) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{C_{1,h}(x,y)}{4t}\right)$$

is the fundamental solution of the diffusion/heat equation. While the function

$$\Phi_2(t,x,x';y,y') = \frac{\beta_d}{t^{2d}} \exp\left(-\frac{C_{2,h}(x,x';y,y')}{4t}\right),$$

where β_d is a normalising constant, is the fundamental solution to the following ultra-parabolic equation, see for instance [Hör67],

$$\partial_t u = -y \cdot \nabla_x u + \Delta_y u.$$

Ultra-parabolic equations have been investigated extensively in many papers from various aspects such as trends to equilibrium [DV01], Gaussian-estimates for the fundamental solution [DM10], connections to particle systems and coarse-graining [DPZ13, Duo15, DLPS15].

When $n \geq 3$, we expect that $C_{n,h}$ is also connected to the fundamental solution of some evolution equation and that $C_{n,h}$ and the corresponding Monge-Kantorovich cost function W_{MK} can be used to establish variational formulation for this evolution equation. We will investigate this issue in a separate article.

1.3 The aim and main results of this paper

Although the cost function (1) has a wide range of applications as reviewed in the previous section, it has not been analysed thoroughly in the literature. Only special cases corresponding to small n and special boundary conditions have been investigated [RF02]. In the literature, there exists no explicit formula as well as computational methods for the general case. Mathematically, $C_{n,h}$ is a constrained minimizing problem and a thorough analysis of this function is interesting on its own.

The aim of this paper is to provide such an analysis. We show some qualitative properties of the cost function $C_{n,h}$, obtain explicitly analytical formula, and offer some computational methods and numerical verifications.

We now describe our main results. The detailed statements will be given in the subsequent sections. Our first result concerns the qualitative behaviour of the cost function as function of h and n.

Theorem 1.1. *The cost function $C_{n,h}$ is scalable with respect to h and monotone increasing with respect to n.*

The full description and proof of this theorem is given in Theorem 2.1 in Section 2. Moreover, we also provide an interpretation of the cost function based on the theory of large-deviation.

Our second theorem is an explicitly analytical formula for the cost function.

Theorem 1.2. *The cost function $C_{n,h}$ has an explicit formula as*

$$C_{n,h}(x_0,\dots,x_{n-1};y_0,\dots,y_{n-1}) = h\,\mathbf{b}_n(h)^T B_n(h)[A_n(h)]^{-1}\mathbf{b}_n(h),$$

where the vector $\mathbf{b}_n(h)$ and the two matrices $B_n(h)$ and $A_n(h)$ are given explicitly in (13), (17) *and* (12) *respectively.*

The proof of this theorem is given in Section 3.

The last theorem provides explicit formulas for the LU decomposition of A_n and for A_n^{-1}.

Theorem 1.3. *The matrix $A_n(h)$ has a LU-decomposition as in* (24)-(25). *The inverse of the matrices L and U are given explicitly in* (26)-(27).

We prove this theorem in Section 4 (cf. Theorem 4.1). As will be shown there, the matrix $A_n(h)$ is a Wronskian matrix, which plays an important role in linear algebra and differential equations; hence, this theorem is of independent interest.

1.4 Organisation of the paper

The rest of this paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we study some qualitative properties of the cost function $C_{n,h}$. In Section 3 we provide an explicitly formula for $C_{n,h}$. The LU-decomposition of the matrix A is presented in Section 4. In Section 5 we show some numerical demonstrations.

2 Qualitative properties of the cost functions

In this section, we provide the full description and proof of Theorem 1.1 on qualitative properties of the cost function, which characterise its behaviour as functions of n and h.

2.1 Behaviour of $C_{n,h}$ as a function of n and h

Theorem 2.1 (Qualitative properties of the cost function)**.**

1. *(Scaling property of the cost functions). It holds that*

$$C_{n,h}(x_0,x_1,\dots,x_{n-1};y_0,y_1,\dots,y_{n-1}) = h^{2-2n}\inf_{\xi}\int_0^1 |\xi^{(n)}(t)|^2\,dt, \tag{4}$$

where the infimum is taken over the curves $\xi\in C^n([0,1],\mathbf{R}^d)$ such that

$$(\xi,\dot\xi,\dots,\xi^{(n-1)})(0) = (x_0,hx_1,\dots,h^{n-1}x_{n-1}),\quad (\xi,\dot\xi,\dots,\xi^{(n-1)})(1) = (y_0,hy_1,\dots,h^{n-1}y_{n-1}).$$

2. *(Monotonicity of the cost function). It holds that*

$$C_{n-1,h}(x_1,\dots,x_n;y_1,\dots,y_{n-1}) \le C_{n,h}(x_0,x_1,\dots,x_n;y_0,y_1,\dots,y_{n-1}). \tag{5}$$

Note the difference between the right-hand sides of (1) and (4). In the former, the dependence on h appears in the interval of the integral, while in the latter, the dependence is moved to the boundary conditions. The pre-factor is also rescaled accordingly.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We first prove the first part. The assertion is simply followed from the change of variables: $t \mapsto \tilde{t} := \frac{t}{h}$. Define $\tilde{\xi}(\tilde{t}) := \xi(t) = \xi(h\tilde{t})$. Then for any $0 \le k \le n$ we have

$$\tilde{\xi}^{(k)}(\tilde{t}) = h^k \xi^{(k)}(t).$$

Substituting this into the integral, we obtain

$$h \int_0^h |\xi^{(n)}(t)|^2 \, dt = h^{2-2n} \int_0^1 |\tilde{\xi}^{(n)}(\tilde{t})|^2 \, d\tilde{t},$$

and the boundary conditions become

$$(\tilde{\xi}, \dot{\tilde{\xi}}, \dots, \tilde{\xi}^{(n-1)})(0) = (\xi, h\dot{\xi}, \dots, h^{n-1}\xi^{(n-1)})(0) = (x_0, hx_1, \dots, h^{n-1}x_{n-1}),$$
$$(\tilde{\xi}, \dot{\tilde{\xi}}, \dots, \tilde{\xi}^{(n-1)})(1) = (\xi, h\dot{\xi}, \dots, h^{n-1}\xi^{(n-1)})(h) = (y_0, hy_1, \dots, h^{n-1}y_{n-1}).$$

The assertion (4) then follows from these computations.

Next we prove the second statement (5). Let $\xi_{opt} \in C^n([0,h], \mathbf{R}^d)$ be the optimal curve in the definition of $C_{n,h}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n; y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})$. We define $\eta(t) := \frac{d\xi_{opt}}{dt}(t)$. Since $\eta \in C^{n-1}([0,h], \mathbf{R}^d)$ and

$$(\eta, \dots, \eta^{(n-1)})(0) = (x_1, \dots, x_n), \quad (\eta, \dots, \eta^{(n-1)})(h) = (y_1, \dots, y_n),$$

it follows that η is an admissible curve in the definition of $C_{n-1,h}(x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})$. It implies that

$$\begin{aligned} C_{n-1,h}(x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}) &\le h \int_0^h |\eta^{(n-1)}(t)|^2 \, dt \\ &= h \int_0^h |\xi_{opt}^{(n)}(t)|^2 \, dt \\ &= C_{n,h}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n; y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof of the theorem. □

The next lemma shows that among all the cost functions, only $\sqrt{C_{1,h}}$ is a distance in the Euclidean space $\mathbf{R}^{nd}$.

Lemma 2.2. *$C_{n,h}(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}; y_0, \dots, y_{n-1})$ is always non-negative and it equals to 0 if and only if*

$$y_j = \sum_{i=j}^{n-1} \frac{h^{i-j}}{(j-i)!} x_i \tag{6}$$

for $j = 0, \dots, n-1$. In particular, for any $z \in \mathbf{R}^{nd}$ we have $C_{n,h}(0,z) \ge 0$ and $C_{n,h}(0,z) = 0$ iff $z = 0$.

Proof. This Lemma is a direct consequence of the definition of $C_{n,h}$. Obviously $C_{n,h} \geq 0$ and it is equal to 0 if and only if the optimal curve satisfies

$$\xi^{(n)}(t) = 0, \tag{7}$$

i.e., it is a polynomial of order $n-1$. This together with the boundary conditions imply (6). □

Remark 2.3. *Lemma 2.2 shows that* $\sqrt{C_{n,h}} : \mathbf{R}^{nd} \times \mathbf{R}^{nd} \to [0, \infty)$ *is not a distance when* $n \geq 2$. *It is not symmetric neither satisfies the condition* $\sqrt{C_{n,h}(x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}; y_0, \ldots, y_{n-1})} = 0$ *iff* $(x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}) = (y_0, \ldots, y_{n-1})$. □

2.2 Interpretation of the cost function based on the large-deviation principle

As mentioned in the introduction, the mean squared cost function (1) has been proposed as a mathematical model to maximizing the smoothness of a movement between two points [Hog84, RF02]. Experimental observations has confirmed the predictions of the model [FH85]. However, a priori it is not clear why $C_{n,h}$ is the right object. In other words,

Why should one minimize the integral of the square of the derivative?

We provide here an interpretation based on the theory of large-deviation. We consider a system of n coupled oscillators, each of them moving vertically and being connected to the nearest neighbours directly, the last oscillator being forced by a random noise. Note that a more general system was considered in [Men11]. Mathematically, the system is given by the following system of stochastic equations

$$\begin{aligned} d\xi &= \xi_2 \, dt \\ d\xi_2 &= \xi_3 \, dt \\ &\vdots \\ d\xi_n &= \sqrt{\varepsilon} \, dW(t), \end{aligned}$$

where ε represents the amplitude of the noise. This system can be formally written as where the subscript indicates the dependence on ε

$$\xi_\varepsilon^{(n)}(t) = \varepsilon \frac{dW}{dt}(t).$$

By the Freidlin-Wentzell theorem (see e.g. [DZ87, Theorem 5.6.3]), the process $(\xi_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon>0}$ satisfies a large-deviation principle in $C^n([0,h], \mathbf{R}^d)$ with a rate functional $I : C^n([0,h], \mathbf{R}^d) \to \mathbf{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ given by

$$I(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^h |\xi^{(n)}(t)|^2 \, dt.$$

The large deviation principle formally can be formulated as follows

$$\text{Prob}(\xi_\varepsilon \approx \xi\big|_{[0,T]}) \approx \exp\Big(-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}I(\xi)\Big), \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \to 0. \tag{8}$$

In other words, the large-deviation principle provides an asymptotic formula to compute the probability of observing any profile ξ. The probability depends on the value of the rate function at the profile: the smaller the rate functional is, the higher the probability is. The most probable profile corresponds to the curves that minimizes the rate functional. Hence to obtain the most probable profile, one should minimize the rate functional. We observe that the mean squared derivative cost functions (1) is defined exactly by minimizing the rate functional $\tilde{I}(\xi)$ over all the curves satisfying the boundary constraints. Hence the minimizer in the minimizing problem (1) is the most probable curves observed when the noise tends to zero according to the Freidlin-Wentzell large-deviation theory. This explains why it makes sense to work with the cost $C_{n,h}$.

3 Analytical formula of the cost functions

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2 on the explicit formula for the cost function.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We first recall a notation, namely the Wronskian matrix, that will be used at several places later on. The Wronskian matrix $W(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ associated to n functions $f_1, \ldots, f_n$ of the class C^n is defined by[1]

$$W(f_1, \ldots, f_n) = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & \cdots & f_n \\ f_1' & \cdots & f_n' \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ f_1^{(n-1)} & \cdots & f_n^{(n-1)} \end{pmatrix},$$

so that the (i,j)-entry of this matrix is $f_j^{(i)}$, which is the $i-$order derivative of f_j

The optimal curve ξ in the definition of the cost function $C_{n,h}$ satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\xi^{(2n)}(t) = 0. \tag{9}$$

Therefore, it is a polynomial of order $2n-1$

$$\xi(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{2n-1} a_i t^i. \tag{10}$$

[1]Note that, other authors sometimes denote by $W(f_1, \ldots, f_n)$ the determinant of the Wronskian matrix defined here.

The coefficients $\{a_i\}_{i=0}^{2n-1}$ will be determined from the boundary conditions. The k-order derivative of ξ can be easily computed as

$$\begin{aligned}\xi^{(k)}(t) &= \sum_{i=k}^{2n-1} k! \binom{i}{k} a_i t^{i-k} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & k! & \dots & k!\binom{n-1}{k} t^{n-1-k} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \dots \\ a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} + \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} k!\binom{n}{k} t^{n-k} & \dots & k!\binom{2n-1}{k} t^{2n-1-k} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_n \\ a_{n+1} \\ \dots \\ a_{2n-1} \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Writing these equations for $k = 0, \dots, n-1$ in matrix form, we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi(t) \\ \xi'(t) \\ \vdots \\ \xi^{(k)}(t) \\ \vdots \\ \xi^{(n-1)}(t) \end{pmatrix} = V_n \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_k \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} + A_n \begin{pmatrix} a_n \\ a_{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ a_k \\ \vdots \\ a_{2n-1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{11}$$

where the matrices V_n and A_n depend on t and are given by

$$V_n(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t & t^2 & \dots & t^k & \dots & t^{n-1} \\ 0 & 1 & 2t & \dots & kt^{k-1} & \dots & t^{n-2} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & & k! & \dots & k!\binom{n-1}{k} t^{n-1-k} \\ & & & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & & & & (n-1)! \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$A_n(t) = \begin{bmatrix} t^n & \dots & t^{2n-1} \\ \binom{n}{1} t^{n-1} & \dots & \binom{2n-1}{1} t^{2n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ k!\binom{n}{k} t^{n-k} & \dots & k!\binom{2n-1}{k} t^{2n-1-k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ (n-1)!\binom{n}{n-1} t & \dots & (n-1)!\binom{2n-1}{n-1} t^n \end{bmatrix}. \tag{12}$$

Note that $V_n(t)$ and $A_n(t)$ can be written in compact forms using the notation of the Wronskians

$$V_n(t) = W(1, t, \ldots, t^{n-1}), \quad \text{and} \quad A_n(t) = W(t^n, \ldots, t^{2n-1}).$$

In particular, when $t = 0$, $V_n(0) = \text{diag}(1, 1, \ldots, (n-1)!)$ is the diagonal matrix, and $A_n(0) = 0$. This follows that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_k \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = V_n^{-1}(0) \begin{pmatrix} \xi(0) \\ \xi'(0) \\ \vdots \\ \xi^{(k)}(0) \\ \vdots \\ \xi^{(n-1)}(0) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi(0) \\ \xi'(0) \\ \cdots \\ \frac{1}{k!}\xi^{(k)}(0) \\ \cdots \\ \frac{1}{(n-1)!}\xi^{(n-1)}(0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly, when $t = h$, we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi(h) \\ \xi'(h) \\ \vdots \\ \xi^{(k)}(h) \\ \vdots \\ \xi^{(n-1)}(h) \end{pmatrix} = V_n(h) \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_k \\ \vdots \\ a_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} + A_n(h) \begin{pmatrix} a_n \\ a_{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ a_k \\ \vdots \\ a_{2n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore, we have the following equation to define $a_n, \ldots a_{2n-1}$.

$$A_n(h) \begin{pmatrix} a_n \\ a_{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ a_k \\ \vdots \\ a_{2n-1} \end{pmatrix} = \boldsymbol{b}_n,$$

where the vector $\mathbf{b}_n$ on the right-hand side is given by

$$\boldsymbol{b}_n(h) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi(h) \\ \xi'(h) \\ \vdots \\ \xi^{(k)}(h) \\ \vdots \\ \xi^{(n-1)}(h) \end{pmatrix} - V_n(h) \begin{pmatrix} \xi(0) \\ \xi'(0) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{k!}\xi^{(k)}(0) \\ \vdots \\ \frac{1}{(n-1)!}\xi^{(n-1)}(0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The i-component of $\mathbf{b}_n(h)$ can be computed explicitly using the definition of $V_n(h)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{b}_n(h)[i] &= \xi^{(i)}(h) - \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} i! \binom{j}{i} h^{j-i} \frac{1}{j!} \xi^{(j)}(0) \\
&= \xi^{(i)}(h) - \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} i! \frac{j!}{(j-i)!i!} h^{j-i} \frac{1}{j!} \xi^{(j)}(0) \\
&= \xi^{(i)}(h) - \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(j-i)!} h^{j-i} \xi^{(j)}(0) \\
&= y_i - \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(j-i)!} h^{j-i} x_i. \qquad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

According to the following lemma whose proof is postponed after this proof, the matrix $A_n(h)$ is invertible.

Lemma 3.1. *The matrix $A_n(h)$ is invertible.*

Therefore, we can compute the coefficients $a_n, \ldots, a_{2n-1}$ from the matrix $A_n(h)$ and the vector $\mathbf{b}_n$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_n \\ a_{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ a_k \\ \vdots \\ a_{2n-1} \end{pmatrix} = A_n(h)^{-1} \mathbf{b}_n(h).$$

On the other hand, by integrating by parts successively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^h |\xi^{(n)}(t)|^2\,dt &= \int_0^h \xi^{(n)}(t)^2\,dt \\
&= \int_0^h \xi^{(n)}(t)\,d\xi^{(n-1)}(t) \\
&= \xi^{(n)}(t)\xi^{(n-1)}(t)\Big|_0^h - \int_0^h \xi^{(n-1)}(t)\,d\xi^{(n)}(t) \\
&= \xi^{(n)}(t)\xi^{(n-1)}(t)\Big|_0^h - \int_0^h \xi^{(n-1)}(t)\xi^{(n+1)}(t)\,dt \\
&= \xi^{(n)}(t)\xi^{(n-1)}(t)\Big|_0^h - \int_0^h \xi^{(n+1)}(t)\,d\xi^{(n-2)}(t) \\
&= \left(\xi^{(n)}(t)\xi^{(n-1)}(t) - \xi^{(n+1)}(t)\xi^{(n-2)}(t)\right)\Big|_0^h + \int_0^h \xi^{(n-2)}(t)\,d\xi^{(n+1)}(t) \\
&= \left(\xi^{(n)}(t)\xi^{(n-1)}(t) - \xi^{(n+1)}(t)\xi^{(n-2)}(t)\right)\Big|_0^h + \int_0^h \xi^{(n-2)}(t)\xi^{(n+2)}(t)\,dt \\
&= \ldots \\
&= \left(\xi^{(n)}(t)\xi^{(n-1)}(t) - \xi^{(n+1)}(t)\xi^{(n-2)}(t) + \ldots\right)\Big|_0^h. \qquad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

Next we will compute the last expression using the relation (11). We denote by $A_n^{(n)}(t)$ the matrix obtained from $A_n(t)$ by taking n-order derivative of each entry of $A_n(t)$. Then the (k,i)-element, $i = 0, \ldots, n-1, k = 0, \ldots, n-1, i \geq k$, of $A_n^{(n)}(t)$ is given by

$$k! \binom{n+i}{k} n! \binom{i-k+n}{i-k} t^{-k+i} = \frac{(n+i)!}{(i-k)!} t^{i-k}$$

In other words,

$$A_n^{(n)}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} n! & (n+1)!\,t & \ldots & \frac{(2n-1)!}{(n-1)!}t^{n-1} \\ & (n+1)! & \ldots & \frac{(2n-1)!}{(n-2)!}t^{n-2} \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & (2n-1)! \end{bmatrix}$$

It follows from (11) that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi^{(n)}(t) \\ \xi^{(n+1)}(t) \\ \vdots \\ \xi^{(n+k)}(t) \\ \vdots \\ \xi^{(2n-1)}(t) \end{pmatrix} = A_n^{(n)}(t) \begin{pmatrix} a_n \\ a_{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ a_k \\ \vdots \\ a_{2n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} n! & (n+1)!\,t & \dots & \dots & \frac{(2n-1)!}{(n-1)!}t^{n-1} \\ & (n+1)! & \dots & \dots & \frac{(2n-1)!}{(n-2)!}t^{n-2} \\ & \ddots & \dots & \dots & \vdots \\ & & (n+k)! & \dots & \frac{(2n-1)!}{(n-1-k)!}t^{n-1-k} \\ & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & & (2n-1)! \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_n \\ a_{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ a_k \\ \vdots \\ a_{2n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi^{(n)}(t)\xi^{(n-1)}(t) \\ \xi^{(n+1)}(t)\xi^{(n-2)}(t) \\ \dots \\ \xi^{(n+k)}(t)\xi^{(n-k-1)}(t) \\ \dots \\ \xi^{(2n-1)}(t)\xi(t) \end{pmatrix} = D_n(t) \begin{pmatrix} a_n \\ a_{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ a_k \\ \vdots \\ a_{2n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$D_n(t) = \begin{bmatrix} n!\xi^{(n-1)}(t) & (n+1)!\,t\,\xi^{(n-1)}(t) & \dots & \dots & \frac{(2n-1)!}{(n-1)!}\,t^{n-1}\,\xi^{(n-1)}(t) \\ & (n+1)!\,\xi^{(n-2)}(t) & \dots & \dots & \frac{(2n-1)!}{(n-2)!}\,t^{n-2}\,\xi^{(n-2)}(t) \\ & \ddots & \dots & \dots & \vdots \\ & & (n+k)!\xi^{(n-k-1)}(t) & \dots & \frac{(2n-1)!}{(n-1-k)!}\,t^{n-1-k}\,\xi^{(n-k-1)}(t) \\ & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & & & (2n-1)!\,\xi(t) \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \xi^{(n)}(h)\xi^{(n-1)}(h) \\ \xi^{(n+1)}(h)\xi^{(n-2)}(h) \\ \vdots \\ \xi^{(n+k)}(h)\xi^{(n-k-1)}(h) \\ \vdots \\ \xi^{(2n-1)}(h)\xi(h) \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \xi^{(n)}(0)\xi^{(n-1)}(0) \\ \xi^{(n+1)}(0)\xi^{(n-2)}(0) \\ \vdots \\ \xi^{(n+k)}(0)\xi^{(n-k-1)}(0) \\ \vdots \\ \xi^{(2n-1)}(0)\xi(0) \end{pmatrix} = E_n(h)[A_n(h)]^{-1}\boldsymbol{b}_n(h),$$

where the matrix $E_n(h) = D_n(h) - D_n(0)$ is given by

$$E_n(h)[i_1, i_2] = \begin{cases} \frac{(n+i_2)!}{(i_2-i_1)!}\xi^{(n-i_1-1)}(h)h^{-i_1+i_2}, & i_2 > i_1 \\ \frac{(n+i_2)!}{(i_2-i_1)!}[\xi^{(n-i_1-1)}(h) - \xi^{(n-i_1-1)}(0)], & i_2 = i_1 \\ 0, & i_2 < i_1 \end{cases},$$

for all $i_1, i_2 = 0, \ldots, n$. Therefore, substituting this back to (14), we obtain

$$C_h = h \int_0^h (\xi^{(n)}(t))^2 dt = h \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & \ldots \end{bmatrix} E_n(h)[A_n(h)]^{-1}\boldsymbol{b}_n(h). \tag{15}$$

We now use the following lemma whose proof is postponed after the proof of the main theorem

Lemma 3.2. *It holds that*

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & \ldots \end{bmatrix} E_n(h) \;=\; [\boldsymbol{b}_n(h)]^T B_n(h), \tag{16}$$

where the matrix $B_n(h)$ is defined as follows

$$B_n(h)[i_1+1, i_2+1] = \begin{cases} (-1)^{n-i_1-1}\frac{(n+i_2)!}{(i_1+i_2-n+1)!}h^{i_2+i_1-n+1}, & i_2+i_1 \geq n-1 \\ 0 & i_2+i_1 < n-1, \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

for all $i_1, i_2 = 0, \ldots, n-1$.

Substituting (16) into (15), we obtain that

$$C_h = h \int_0^h (\xi^{(n)}(t))^2 dt = h\,[\boldsymbol{b}_n(h)]^T B_n(h)[A_n(h)]^{-1}\boldsymbol{b}_n(h),$$

with $\mathbf{b}_n(h)$, $A_n(h)$ and $B_n(h)$ defined in (13), (12) and (17) respectively. This finishes the proof of the main result given that Lemma 3.1 and 3.2 are true. The proofs of these lemmas are given below. □

We still need to prove Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Recalling that $A_n(h)$ can be written in terms of the Wronskian

$$A_n(h) = W(h^n, \ldots, h^{2n-1}).$$

Therefore

$$\det A_n(h) = \det W(f_1, \ldots, f_n).$$

According to [BD10, Lemma 1], we have

$$\det A_n(h) = V(n, \ldots, 2n-1)h^{\sum_{i=n}^{2n-1} i - \binom{n}{2}} = V(n, \ldots, 2n-1)h^{\frac{n(3n-1)}{2}},$$

where $V(n,\ldots,2n-1)$ is the Vandermonde determinant

$$V(n,\ldots,2n-1)=\begin{vmatrix} 1 & \ldots & 1 \\ n & \ldots & 2n-1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ n^{n-1} & \ldots & (2n-1)^{n-1} \end{vmatrix}=\prod_{1\le i<j\le n}(j-i).$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\det A_n(h)=h^{\frac{n(3n-1)}{2}}\prod_{1\le i<j\le n}(j-i), \tag{18}$$

which is non-zero. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Proof of Lemma 3.2. The equality (16) is interesting on its own. Below we will prove it using purely combinatorial techniques.

The $(k+1)^{th}$ element on the left hand side of (16) is

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(-1)^i E_n(h)[i,k]=(n+k)!(-1)^k(\xi^{(n-k-1)}(h)-\xi^{(n-k-1)}(0))+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^i\frac{(n+k)!}{(k-i)!}\xi^{(n-i-1)}(h)h^{-i+k}.$$

The (i_1+1,i_2+1) element of $B_n(h)$ is

$$B_n(h)[i_1,i_2]=\begin{cases}(-1)^{n-i_1-1}\frac{(n+i_2)!}{(i_1+i_2-n+1)!}h^{i_2+i_1-n+1}, & i_2+i_1\ge n-1\\ 0 & i_2+i_1<n-1.\end{cases}$$

So that the $(k+1)^{th}$ element on the right hand side of (16) is

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=0}^{n-1}\boldsymbol{b}_n(i)B_n[i,k] &= \sum_{i=0,i+k\ge n-1}^{n-1}\boldsymbol{b}_n(i)(-1)^{n-i-1}\frac{(n+k)!}{(k+i-n+1)!}h^{k+i-n+1}\\ &= \sum_{i=n-1-k}^{n-1}\boldsymbol{b}_n(i)(-1)^{n-i-1}\frac{(n+k)!}{(k+i-n+1)!}h^{k+i-n+1}\\ &= \sum_{i=n-1-k}^{n-1}[\xi^{(i)}(h)-\sum_{j=i}^{n-1}\frac{1}{(j-i)!}h^{j-i}\xi^{(j)}(0)](-1)^{n-i-1}\frac{(n+k)!}{(k+i-n+1)!}h^{k+i-n+1}\end{aligned}$$

To establish (16), we need to show that

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{i=n-1-k}^{n-1}[\xi^{(i)}(h)-\sum_{j=i}^{n-1}\frac{1}{(j-i)!}h^{j-i}\xi^{(j)}(0)](-1)^{n-i-1}\frac{(n+k)!}{(k+i-n+1)!}h^{k+i-n+1}\\ &=(n+k)!(-1)^k(\xi^{(n-k-1)}(h)-\xi^{(n-k-1)}(0))+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(-1)^i\frac{(n+k)!}{(k-i)!}\xi^{(n-i-1)}(h)h^{-i+k}.\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

We will show this by transforming the left-hand side . First we write it as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=n-1-k}^{n-1}[\xi^{(i)}(h)-\sum_{j=i}^{n-1}\frac{1}{(j-i)!}h^{j-i}\xi^{(j)}(0)](-1)^{n-i-1}\frac{(n+k)!}{(k+i-n+1)!}h^{k+i-n+1}\\
&=\sum_{i=n-1-k}^{n-1}\xi^{(i)}(h)(-1)^{n-i-1}\frac{1}{(k+i-n+1)!}h^{k+i-n+1}\\
&\quad-\sum_{i=n-1-k}^{n-1}\sum_{j=i}^{n-1}\frac{1}{(j-i)!}h^{j-i}\xi^{(j)}(0)(-1)^{n-i-1}\frac{1}{(k+i-n+1)!}h^{k+i-n+1}\\
&=(I)-(II).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we transform further (I) and (II). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(I)&:=\sum_{i=n-1-k}^{n-1}\xi^{(i)}(h)(-1)^{n-i-1}\frac{1}{(k+i-n+1)!}h^{k+i-n+1}\\
&=\sum_{i=n-1-k}^{n-1}\xi^{(i)}(h)(-1)^{n-i-1}\frac{1}{(k+i-n+1)!}h^{k+i-n+1}\text{ (now we change variable: } i=-j+n-1)\\
&=\sum_{i=k}^{0}\xi^{(n-j-1)}(h)(-1)^{j}\frac{1}{(k-j)!}h^{k-j}\\
&=\xi^{(n-k-1)}(h)(-1)^{k}+\sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\xi^{(n-j-1)}(h)(-1)^{j}\frac{1}{(k-j)!}h^{k-j}. \qquad (20)
\end{aligned}$$

The term (II) can be transformed as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
(II)&:=-\sum_{i=n-1-k}^{n-1}\sum_{j=i}^{n-1}\frac{1}{(j-i)!}h^{j-i}\xi^{(j)}(0)(-1)^{n-i-1}\frac{1}{(k+i-n+1)!}h^{k+i-n+1}\\
&=\sum_{i=n-1-k}^{n-1}\sum_{j=i}^{n-1}\frac{1}{(j-i)!}h^{j-i}\xi^{(j)}(0)(-1)^{n-i}\frac{1}{(k+i-n+1)!}h^{k+i-n+1}\\
&=\sum_{j=n-1-k}^{n-1}\xi^{(j)}(0)h^{j+k-n+1}\sum_{i=n-1-k}^{j}\frac{1}{(j-i)!}(-1)^{n-i}\frac{1}{(k+i-n+1)!}(\text{ for } j=n-1-k..n-1)\\
&=-\xi^{(n-k-1)}(0)(-1)^{k}+\sum_{j=n-k}^{n-1}\xi^{(j)}(0)h^{j+k-n+1}\sum_{i=n-1-k}^{j}\frac{1}{(j-i)!}(-1)^{n-i}\frac{1}{(k+i-n+1)!}. \qquad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

We now show that the second term of (21) vanishes by showing that

$$\sum_{i=n-1-k}^{j}\frac{1}{(j-i)!}(-1)^{n-i}\frac{1}{(k+i-n+1)!}=0$$

for each $j = n-k, \ldots, n-1$. In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i=n-1-k}^{j} (-1)^{n-i} \frac{1}{(j-i)!(k+i-n+1)!} \\
&\quad = \frac{1}{(j+k-n+1)!} \sum_{i=n-1-k}^{j} (-1)^{n-i} \frac{(j+k-n+1)!}{(j-i)!(k+i-n+1)!} \\
&\quad = \frac{(-1)^{n-j}}{(j+k-n+1)!} \sum_{i=n-1-k}^{j} (-1)^{j-i} \binom{j+k-n+1}{j-i} \\
&\quad = \frac{(-1)^{n-j}}{(j+k-n+1)!} \sum_{i=j-(n-1-k)}^{0} (-1)^{l} \binom{j+k-n+1}{l} \text{ (now we change variable: } l = j-i, i = j-l) \\
&\quad = \frac{(-1)^{n-j}}{(j+k-n+1)!} \sum_{l=0}^{j-n+1+k} (-1)^{l} \binom{j+k-n+1}{l} \\
&\quad = \frac{(-1)^{n-j}}{(j+k-n+1)!} (-1+1)^{j-n+k+1} \\
&\quad = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, $(II) = -\xi^{(n-k-1)}(0)(-1)^k$. Therefore, the right-hand side of (19) is equal to

$$(\xi^{(n-k-1)}(h) - \xi^{(n-k-1)}(0))(-1)^k + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \xi^{(n-j-1)}(h)(-1)^j \frac{1}{(k-j)!} h^{k-j},$$

which is exactly its right-hand side. This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.2.

□

Remark 3.3. *Recall that the cost function $C_{n,h}$ is given by*

$$C_{n,h} = h\, \mathbf{b}_n(h)^T B_n(h) A_n^{-1}(h) \mathbf{b}_n(h). \tag{22}$$

We can show that the matrix $B_n(h)A_n^{-1}(h)$ is positive definite. Indeed, let $z \in \mathbf{R}^{nd}$ be arbitrary. Then by definition of $C_{n,h}$ and from (22), we have

$$h\, z^T B_n(h) A_n^{-1}(h) z = C_{n,h}(0, z).$$

Then the positive definiteness of $B_n(h)A_n^{-1}(h)$ follows from Lemma 2.2. Note further that we have the following property, for any matrix M then

$$z^T M z = (z^T M z)^T = z^T M^T z = z^T \frac{M + M^T}{2} z. \tag{23}$$

Therefore we can write

$$C_{n,h} = h\,\mathbf{b}_n(h)^T H_n(h)\mathbf{b}_n(h),$$

where $H_n(h) = \frac{1}{2}[B_n(h)A_n^{-1}(h) + (B_n(h)A_n^{-1}(h))^T]$ *is a symmetric positive matrix.* □

Remark 3.4 (Alternative computations)**.** *We can compute the cost function* $C_{n,h}$ *more directly as follows.*

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^h |\xi^{(n)}(t)|^2\,dt &= \int_0^h \left(\sum_{i=n}^{2n-1} n!\binom{i}{n} a_i t^{i-n}\right)^2 dt \\ &= (n!)^2 \sum_{n\le i,j\le 2n-1} \binom{i}{n}\binom{j}{n} a_i a_j \int_0^h t^{i+j-2n}\,dt \\ &= (n!)^2 \sum_{n\le i,j\le 2n-1} \binom{i}{n}\binom{j}{n} a_i a_j \frac{h^{i+j+1-2n}}{i+j+1-2n}\end{aligned}$$

Define the matrix $K_n(h) = (K_{ij})_{i,j=n}^{2n-1}$ *with entries*

$$K_{ij} = (n!)^2 \binom{i}{n}\binom{j}{n} \frac{h^{i+j+1-2n}}{i+j+1-2n}.$$

Clearly $K_n(h)$ *is symmetric. Then* $C_{n,h}$ *can be written as*

$$C_{n,h} = h\,a^T K_n(h) a = \frac{h}{2}\,\mathbf{b}_n^T (A_n(h)^{-1})^T K_n(h) A_n(h)^{-1}\mathbf{b}_n(h),$$

where $a = (a_n, \ldots, a_{2n-1})^T$ *and* $\mathbf{b}_n(h)$ *and* $A_n(h)$ *are defined in* (17) *and* (12) *respectively.*

The advantage of the formula derived in the previous section is that it involves A_n^{-1} *only one time and the matrix* B_n *is triangular.* □

Remark 3.5 (Alternative representation using the scaling property)**.** *Using the scaling property in Theorem 2.1, the cost function can be written as follows*

$$C_{n,h} = h^{2-2n}\,[\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_n(h)]^T B_n(1)[A_n(1)]^{-1}\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_n(h),$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_n(h) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\xi}(1) \\ h\tilde{\xi}'(1) \\ \vdots \\ h^k\tilde{\xi}^{(k)}(1) \\ \vdots \\ h^{n-1}\tilde{\xi}^{(n-1)}(1) \end{pmatrix} - V_n(1) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\xi}(0) \\ h\tilde{\xi}'(0) \\ \vdots \\ h^k\tilde{\xi}^{(k)}(0) \\ \vdots \\ h^{n-1}\tilde{\xi}^{(n-1)}(0) \end{pmatrix}.$$

By analogous computations to (13)*, the* i*-component of this vector is*

$$\tilde{\mathbf{b}}_n(h)[i] = y_i h^i - \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(j-i)!} h^j x_i = h^i \mathbf{b}_n(h)[i].$$

□

4 LU decomposition of A_n and A_n^{-1}

The analytical formulas for the cost functions obtained in the previous section involve the inverse of the matrix A_n, which is a matrix of order n. In this section, we provide explicit formula for the LU decomposition of A_n and for A_n^{-1}. For simplicity of notation, we leave out the dependence on h of A_n (and hence L, U). Recalling that $A = W(h^n, \dots, h^{2n-1})$ is the Wronskian matrix associated to the polynomials $\{h^n, \dots, h^{2n-1}\}$, therefore the analysis of this section is of independent interest since the Wronskian matrix plays an important role in linear algebra and differential equations.

The main result of this section is the following theorem, which is summarised as Theorem 1.3 in the introduction.

Theorem 4.1. *(1) $A_n = LU$ where U and L are defined as follows*

$$U[i,j] = \begin{cases} \frac{(j-1)!}{(j-i)!} h^{j+n-i} & \text{if } j \geq i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

and

$$L[k,j] = \begin{cases} h^{j-k} \binom{k-1}{j-1} \frac{n!}{(n-k+j)!} & \text{if } j \leq k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{25}$$

(2) The inverse of A_n is given by the product of the following two matrices:

$$U^{-1}[i,j] = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^{i+j}}{((i-1)!(j-i)!)h^{-j+i+n}} & \text{if } j \geq i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L^{-1}[j,i] &= \begin{cases} (-1)^{j-i} h^{i-j} \frac{(j-1)!}{(j-i)!(i-1)!} \frac{(n+j-i-1)!}{(n-1)!} & \text{if } j \geq i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (-1)^{j-i} h^{i-j} \frac{(j-1)!}{(i-1)!} \binom{n+j-i-1}{j-i} & \text{if } j \geq i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Proof. We first prove the first statement about the LU decomposition of the matrix A_n We will show that U^{-1} can be computed as follows:

$$U^{-1}[i,j] = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{((i-1)!(j-i)!)h^{-j+i+n}} & \text{if } j \geq i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_j U[i,j]U^{-1}(j,k) &= \sum_{j\geq i, k\geq j} U[i,j]U^{-1}[j,k] \\
&= \sum_{j=i}^{k} U[i,j]U^{-1}[j,k] \\
&= \sum_{j=i}^{k} \frac{(j-1)!}{(j-i)!} h^{j+n-i} \frac{(-1)^{j+k}}{((j-1)!(k-j)!)h^{n-k+j}} \\
&= h^{k-i}(-1)^k \sum_{j=i}^{k} \frac{1}{(j-i)!}\frac{(-1)^j}{(k-j)!}
\end{aligned}$$

If $k=i$, then $\sum_j U[i,j]U^{-1}[j,k] = (-1)^k \frac{1}{(k-i)!}\frac{(-1)^k}{(k-k)!} = 1$.

If $k \neq i$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=i}^{k} \frac{1}{(j-i)!}\frac{(-1)^j}{(k-j)!} &= \frac{1}{(k-i)!}\sum_{j=i}^{k} \frac{(k-i)!}{(j-i)!(k-j)!}(-1)^j \\
&= \frac{1}{(k-i)!}(-1)^i \sum_{l=0}^{k-i} \frac{(k-i)!}{l!(k-i-l)!}(-1)^{l+i} \text{ (change variable: } l=j-i) \\
&= \frac{1}{(k-i)!}(-1)^i (1+(-1))^{k-i} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_j U[i,j]U^{-1}[j,k] = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k=i \\ 0 & \text{if } k\neq i. \end{cases}$$

In other words, U^{-1} can be defined as in Eq. (26).

Now we establish the formula for L. By definition $A = LU$, so that $L[k,j]$ can be written as follows

$$L[k,j] = \sum_{i\leq j} A_n[k,i]U^{-1}[i,j] = h^{j-k}\sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{(n+i-1)!}{(n+i-k)!}\frac{(-1)^{i+j}}{((i-1)!(j-i)!)}.$$

We now simplify the expression above. Consider the function $f(x) = x^n(1-x)^{j-1}$. On

the one hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= x^n \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \binom{j-1}{i} (-1)^i x^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \binom{j-1}{i} (-1)^i x^{n+i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{j} \binom{j-1}{i-1} (-1)^{i-1} x^{n+i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} f(x)^{(k-1)} &= \sum_{i=1}^{j} \binom{j-1}{i-1} (-1)^{i-1} \frac{(n+i-1)!}{(n+i-1-(k-1))!} x^{n+i-1-(k-1)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{(j-1)!}{(j-i)!(i-1)!} (-1)^{i-1} \frac{(n+i-1)!}{(n+i-k)!} x^{n+i-1-(k-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{(-1)^i}{(i-1)!(j-i)!} \frac{(n+i-1)!}{(n+i-k)!} = \frac{-1}{(j-1)!} f(1)^{(k-1)},$$

and by multiplying both sides of this equality with h^{j-k}, we get

$$h^{j-k} \sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{(-1)^{i+j}}{(i-1)!(j-i)!} \frac{(n+i-1)!}{(n+i-k)!} = h^{j-k} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}}{(j-1)!} f(1)^{(k-1)}$$

On the other hand, according to Leibniz formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x)^{(k-1)} &= [x^n (1-x)^{j-1}]^{(k-1)} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{i} [x^n]^{(k-1-i)} [(1-x)^{j-1}]^{(i)}. \end{aligned}$$

If $k-1 < j-1$, then $f(1)^{(k-1)} = 0$, which implies that $\sum_{i \leq j} A_n[k,i] U^{-1}[i,j] = 0$.

If $k \geq j$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
f(x)^{(k-1)}|_{x=1} &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{i} [x^n]^{(k-1-i)} [(1-x)^{j-1}]^{(i)}|_{x=1} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \binom{k-1}{i} [x^n]^{(k-1-i)} [(1-x)^{j-1}]^{(i)}|_{x=1} \\
&+ \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{i} [x^n]^{(k-1-i)} [(1-x)^{j-1}]^{(i)}|_{x=1} \\
&= \binom{k-1}{j-1} [x^n]^{(k-1-(j-1))} (-1)^{j-1} [(1-x)^{j-1}]^{(j-1)}|_{x=1} \\
&\quad + 0 \\
&= \binom{k-1}{j-1} \frac{n!}{(n-(k-j)!)} x^{n-(k-j)} (j-1)! (-1)^{j-1}|_{x=1} \\
&= \binom{k-1}{j-1} \frac{n!}{(n-(k-j))!} (j-1)! (-1)^{j-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$h^{j-k} \sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{(-1)^{i+j}}{(i-1)!(j-i)!} \frac{(n+i-1)!}{(n+i-k)!} = h^{j-k} (-1)^{j+1} (-1)^{j-1} \binom{k-1}{j-1} \frac{n!}{(n-(k-j))!}.$$

It then follows that

$$L(k,j) = \sum_{i \leq j} A_n(k,i) U^{-1}(i,j) = h^{j-k} \binom{k-1}{j-1} \frac{n!}{(n-k+j)!},$$

which is the desired formula. This completes the proof of the first statement of the theorem.

Now we will prove the second statement of the theorem. We will show that L^{-1} has the form as defined in (27).

The element (k,i) of the product of L and L^{-1} is given by $\sum_{j=1}^{n} L[k,j] L^{-1}[j,i]$

If $k < i$, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} L[k,j] L^{-1}[j,i] = \sum_{j=1}^{k} L[k,j] L^{-1}[j,i] = 0 \tag{28}$$

If $k > i$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} L[k,j]L^{-1}[j,i] &= \sum_{j=1, j\geq i, j\leq k}^{n} L[k,j]L^{-1}[j,i] \\
&= \sum_{j=i}^{k} h^{j-k}\binom{k-1}{j-1}\frac{n!}{(n-k+j)!}(-1)^{j-i}h^{i-j}\frac{(j-1)!}{(j-i)!(i-1)!}\frac{(n+j-i-1)!}{(n-1)!} \\
&= h^{i-k}\sum_{j=i}^{k}\frac{(k-1)!}{(k-j)!}\frac{n!}{(n-k+j)!}(-1)^{j-i}\frac{1}{(j-i)!(i-1)!}\frac{(n+j-i-1)!}{(n-1)!} \\
&= h^{i-k}\frac{(k-1)!n!}{(k-i)!(i-1)!(n-1)!}\sum_{j=0}^{k-i}\frac{(k-i)!}{(k-i-j)!j!}\frac{(n+j-1)!}{(n-k+i+j)!}(-1)^{j},
\end{aligned}$$

where to obtain the last equality we have changed variable $j := j + i$. Next, we will show that the summation in the above expression is equal to 0.

Let $\alpha = k - i$ and consider $g(x) = x^{n-1}(x-1)^{\alpha}$. On the on hand, we have

$$g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha}\binom{\alpha}{j}x^{n+j-1}(-1)^{\alpha-j},$$

which follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
g^{(\alpha-1)}(x) &= \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha}\binom{\alpha}{j}[x^{n+j-1}]^{(\alpha-1)}(-1)^{\alpha-j} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha}\binom{\alpha}{j}\frac{(n+j-1)!}{(n+j-1-(\alpha-1))!}x^{n+j-\alpha}(-1)^{\alpha-j} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha}\binom{\alpha}{j}\frac{(n+j-1)!}{(n+j-\alpha)!}x^{n+j-\alpha}(-1)^{\alpha-j} \\
&= (-1)^{\alpha}\sum_{j=0}^{k-i}\frac{(k-i)!}{(k-i-j)!j!}\frac{(n+j-1)!}{(n+j-k+i)!}x^{n+j-\alpha}(-1)^{j}.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, we obtain

$$g^{(\alpha-1)}(1) = (-1)^{\alpha}\sum_{j=0}^{k-i}\frac{(k-i)!}{(k-i-j)!j!}\frac{(n+j-1)!}{(n+j-k+i)!}(-1)^{j}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g^{(\alpha-1)}(x) &= [x^{n-1}(x-1)^{\alpha}]^{(\alpha-1)} \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha-1}[x^{n-1}]^{(\alpha-1-j)}[(x-1)^{\alpha}]^{(j)},
\end{aligned}$$

so $g^{(\alpha-1)}(1) = 0$.

Therefore,

$$(-1)^{\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{k-i} \frac{(k-i)!}{(k-i-j)!j!} \frac{(n+j-1)!}{(n+j-k+i)!} (-1)^{j} = 0,$$

and hence we obtain for $k > i$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} L[k,j] L^{-1}[j,i] = 0. \tag{29}$$

Finally when $k = i$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{n} L[k,j] L^{-1}[j,i] &= \sum_{j=1}^{i} L[k,j] L^{-1}[j,k] + \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} L[k,j] L^{-1}[j,k] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{k} L[k,j] L^{-1}[j,k] \\ &= L[k,k] L^{-1}[k,k] \\ &= 1, \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where in the last step we have used that $L[k,k] = L^{-1}[k,k] = 1$. From (28), (29), and (30), we conclude that (27) is indeed the formula for the inverse of L.

This finishes the proof of the theorem. □

5 Numerical demonstrations

In this section, we provide some numerical demonstrations for the analytical analysis in the previous sections. Below we show $B_n(h), A_n(h), L_n(h), U_n(h), L_n^{-1}(h), U_n^{-1}(h)$ and $C_{n,h}$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$. For simplicity of notation, we leave out the dependence on h of the matrices.

For $n = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} &B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ &A = \begin{pmatrix} h \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{h} \end{pmatrix}, \\ &L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} h \end{pmatrix}, \\ &L^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{h} \end{pmatrix}, \\ &C_{1,h}(x_0, y_0) = (-x_0 + y_0)^2. \end{aligned}$$

For $n = 2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -6 \\ 2 & 6h \end{pmatrix}, \\
&A = \begin{pmatrix} h^2 & h^3 \\ 2h & 3h^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{h^2} & -\frac{1}{h} \\ -\frac{2}{h^3} & \frac{1}{h^2} \end{pmatrix}, \\
&L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{2}{h} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} h^2 & h^3 \\ 0 & h^2 \end{pmatrix}, \\
&L^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{2}{h} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{h^2} & -\frac{1}{h} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{h^2} \end{pmatrix}, \\
&C_{2,h}(x_0, x_1; y_0, y_1) = (y_1 - x_1)^2 + 3\left(y_1 - x_1 - \frac{2\left(y_0 - x_0 - hx_1\right)}{h}\right)^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

For $n = 3$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 120 \\ 0 & -24 & -120h \\ 6 & 24h & 60h^2 \end{pmatrix}, \\
&A = \begin{pmatrix} h^3 & h^4 & h^5 \\ 3h^2 & 4h^3 & 5h^4 \\ 6h & 12h^2 & 20h^3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{10}{h^3} & -\frac{4}{h^2} & \frac{1}{2h} \\ -\frac{15}{h^4} & \frac{7}{h^3} & -\frac{1}{h^2} \\ \frac{6}{h^5} & -\frac{3}{h^4} & \frac{1}{2h^3} \end{pmatrix} \\
&L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{3}{h} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{6}{h^2} & \frac{6}{h} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} h^3 & h^4 & h^5 \\ 0 & h^3 & 2h^4 \\ 0 & 0 & 2h^3 \end{pmatrix}, \\
&L^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{3}{h} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{12}{h^2} & -\frac{6}{h} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{h^3} & -\frac{1}{h^2} & \frac{1}{2h} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{h^3} & -\frac{1}{h^2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2h^3} \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{3,h}(x_0, x_1, x_2; y_0, y_1, y_2) = (y_2 - x_2)^2 &+ 3\left(y_2 - x_2 - \frac{2\left(y_1 - x_1 - hx_2\right)}{h} -\right)^2 \\
&+ 5\left(y_2 - x_2 - \frac{6\left(y_1 - x_1 - hx_2\right)}{h} + \frac{12\left(y_0 - x_0 - hx_1 - \frac{h^2 x_2}{2}\right)}{h^2}\right)^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

For $n = 4$

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -5040 \\ 0 & 0 & 720 & 5040h \\ 0 & -120 & -720h & -2520h^2 \\ 24 & 120h & 360h^2 & 840h^3 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} h^4 & h^5 & h^6 & h^7 \\ 4h^3 & 5h^4 & 6h^5 & 7h^6 \\ 12h^2 & 20h^3 & 30h^4 & 42h^5 \\ 24h & 60h^2 & 120h^3 & 210h^4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{35}{h^4} & -\frac{15}{h^3} & \frac{5}{2h^2} & -\frac{1}{6h} \\ -\frac{84}{h^5} & \frac{39}{h^4} & -\frac{7}{h^3} & \frac{1}{2h^2} \\ \frac{70}{h^6} & -\frac{34}{h^5} & \frac{13}{2h^4} & -\frac{1}{2h^3} \\ -\frac{20}{h^7} & \frac{10}{h^6} & -\frac{2}{h^5} & \frac{1}{6h^4} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{4}{h} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{12}{h^2} & \frac{8}{h} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{24}{h^3} & \frac{36}{h^2} & \frac{12}{h} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} h^4 & h^5 & h^6 & h^7 \\ 0 & h^4 & 2h^5 & 3h^6 \\ 0 & 0 & 2h^4 & 6h^5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 6h^4 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$L^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{4}{h} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{20}{h^2} & -\frac{8}{h} & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{120}{h^3} & \frac{60}{h^2} & -\frac{12}{h} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{h^4} & -\frac{1}{h^3} & \frac{1}{2h^2} & -\frac{1}{6h} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{h^4} & -\frac{1}{h^3} & \frac{1}{2h^2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2h^4} & -\frac{1}{2h^3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{6h^4} \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &C_{4,h}(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3; y_0, y_1, y_2, y_3) \\ &\quad = (y_3 - x_3)^2 + 3\left(y_3 - x_3 - \frac{2\,(y_2 - x_2 - hx_3)}{h}\right)^2 \\ &\quad + 5\left(y_3 - x_3 - \frac{6\,(y_2 - x_2 - hx_3)}{h} + \frac{12\left(y_1 - x_1 - hx_2 - \frac{h^2 x_3}{2}\right)}{h^2}\right)^2 \\ &\quad + 7\Big(y_3 - x_3 - \frac{12\,(y_2 - x_2 - hx_3)}{h} + \frac{60\left(y_1 - x_1 - hx_2 - \frac{h^2 x_3}{2}\right)}{h^2} \\ &\qquad - \frac{120\left(y_0 - x_0 - hx_1 - \frac{h^2 x_2}{2} - \frac{h^3 x_3}{6}\right)}{h^3}\Big)^2. \end{aligned}$$

We observe that these cost functions satisfy a property that

$$\begin{aligned} C_{n,h}(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}; y_0, y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}) &= C_{n-1,h}(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}; y_1, \ldots, y_{n-1}) \\ &\quad + \Big|\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{\alpha_j}{h^{n-1-j}}\Big[y_j - \sum_{i=j}^{n-1} \frac{h^{i-j}}{(i-j)!} x_i\Big]\Big|^2, \end{aligned}$$

for some $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=0}^{n-1}$, which is in accordance with Lemma 2.1.

References

[AGS08] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, and G. Savaré. *Gradient flows in metric spaces and in the space of probability measures*. Lectures in Mathematics. ETH Zürich. Birkhauser, Basel, 2nd edition, 2008.

[BD10] A. Bostan and P. Dumas. Wronskians and linear independence. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 117(8):722–727, 2010.

[CC14] J. Coutinho Canuto. *Biomechanical online signature modeling applied to verification*. Theses, Institut National des Télécommunications, December 2014.

[CDM13] J. Canuto, B. Dorizzi, and J. Montalvao. Dynamic signatures representation using the minimum jerk principle. pages 1–6, Feb 2013.

[CSW14] F. Cavalletti, M. Sedjro, and M. Westdickenberg. A variational time discretization for the compressible euler equations. `http://arxiv.org/abs/1411.1012`, 2014.

[DLPS15] M. H. Duong, A. Lamacz, M. A. Peletier, and U. Sharma. Variational approach to coarse-graining of generalized gradient fows. submitted,. `http://arxiv.org/abs/1507.03207`, 2015.

[DM10] François Delarue and Stéphane Menozzi. Density estimates for a random noise propagating through a chain of differential equations. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 259(6):1577–1630, 2010.

[DPZ13] M. H. Duong, M. A. Peletier, and J. Zimmer. GENERIC formalism of a Vlasov-Fokker-Planck equation and connection to large-deviation principles. *Nonlinearity*, 26(11):2951–2971, 2013.

[DPZ14] M. H. Duong, M. A. Peletier, and J. Zimmer. Conservative-dissipative approximation schemes for a generalized kramers equation. *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*, 37(16):2517–2540, 2014.

[Duo15] M. H. Duong. Long time behaviour and particle approximation of a generalised Vlasov dynamic. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 127:1–16, 2015.

[DV01] L. Desvillettes and C. Villani. On the trend to global equilibrium in spatially inhomogeneous entropy-dissipating systems: The linear fokker-planck equation. *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 54(1):1–42, 2001.

[DZ87] A. Dembo and O. Zeitouni. *Large deviations techniques and applications*, volume 38 of *Stochastic modelling and applied probability*. Springer, New York, NY, USA, 2nd edition, 1987.

[Eng01] S. E Engelbrecht. Minimum principles in motor control. *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, 45:497–542, 2001.

[FH85] T. Flash and N. Hogans. The coordination of arm movements: An experimentally confirmed mathematical model. *Journal of neuroscience*, 5:1688–1703, 1985.

[Fre12] P. Freeman. *Minimum Jerk Trajectory Planning for Trajectory Constrained Redundant Robots*. PhD thesis, Washington University in St. Louis, 2012.

[GW09] W. Gangbo and M. Westdickenberg. Optimal transport for the system of isentropic Euler equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 34(7-9):1041–1073, 2009.

[Hec12] N. Heckman. The theory and application of penalized methods or reproducing kernel hilbert spaces made easy. *Statist. Surv.*, 6:113–141, 2012.

[Hog84] N. Hogan. An organizing principle for a class of voluntary movements. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 4:2745–2754, 1984.

[Hör67] Lars Hörmander. Hypoelliptic second order differential equations. *Acta Math.*, 119:147–171, 1967.

[Hua00] Chaocheng Huang. A variational principle for the Kramers equation with unbounded external forces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 250(1):333–367, 2000.

[JKO98] R. Jordan, D. Kinderlehrer, and F. Otto. The variational formulation of the fokker-planck equation. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis*, 29(1):1–17, 1998.

[Men11] G. Menz. Lsi for kawasaki dynamics with weak interaction. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 307(3):817–860, 2011.

[RF02] M. J. E. Richardson and T. Flash. Comparing smooth arm movements with the two-thirds power law and the related segmented-control hypothesis. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 22(18):8201–8211, 2002.

[Vil03] Cédric Villani. *Topics in optimal transportation*, volume 58 of *Graduate Studies in Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.

[Vil09] C. Villani. *Optimal transport : old and new*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer, Berlin, 2009.

[Wes10] M. Westdickenberg. Projections onto the cone of optimal transport maps and compressible fluid flows. *J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ.*, 7(4):605–649, 2010.